

**From:** [Jennifer Woronets](#)  
**To:** [Chip\\_Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Subject:** RE: EPA response to LWG February 5 2010 letter  
**Date:** 02/12/2010 07:18 AM

---

No worries. Thank you for the clarification, Chip.

Thank you,  
Jen Woronets ☺  
Anchor QEA, LLC  
[jworonets@anchoragea.com](mailto:jworonets@anchoragea.com)  
6650 SW Redwood Lane, Suite 333  
Portland, OR 97224  
503-670-1108 Ext 24  
503-670-1128 Fax

 Please consider the environment before printing this email.

The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by electronic mail at [jworonets@anchoragea.com](mailto:jworonets@anchoragea.com)

---

**From:** [Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov) [mailto:[Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov)]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 11, 2010 10:06 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Woronets  
**Subject:** RE: EPA response to LWG February 5 2010 letter

Jen, no attachment, just the letter. Also, the subject line on the transmittal was an artifact from a previous email, should have been referring to our response to the LWG January 20 PCB Modeling proposal rather than the February 5 letter. Sorry for the confusion.

chip

-----"Jennifer Woronets" <[jworonets@anchoragea.com](mailto:jworonets@anchoragea.com)> wrote: -----

To: Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
From: "Jennifer Woronets" <[jworonets@anchoragea.com](mailto:jworonets@anchoragea.com)>  
Date: 02/11/2010 03:54PM  
cc: "Jennifer Woronets" <[jworonets@anchoragea.com](mailto:jworonets@anchoragea.com)>  
Subject: RE: EPA response to LWG February 5 2010 letter

Hi Chip,

It looks like the PDF you sent has three pages to it. Was there supposed to be an attachment? I don't believe that one is referenced in the letter.

Thank you,  
Jen Woronets ☺  
Anchor QEA, LLC  
[jworonets@anchoragea.com](mailto:jworonets@anchoragea.com)  
6650 SW Redwood Lane, Suite 333  
Portland, OR 97224  
503-670-1108 Ext 24  
503-670-1128 Fax

ü Please consider the environment before printing this email.

The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by electronic mail at [jworonets@anchorqea.com](mailto:jworonets@anchorqea.com)

-----Original Message-----

From: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov>]

Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2010 3:39 PM

To: Bob Wyatt

Cc: Jennifer Woronets

Subject: EPA response to LWG February 5 2010 letter

Bob

Attached is our follow-up response to the LWG's proposal regarding PCB modeling for the fate and transport model as discussed yesterday. As noted in the letter, we are acknowledging the potential delays to the schedule, but would like to revisit the schedule impacts along with other work items (ie, the risk management "short" list) at our next Project Managers meeting.

Also, our respective technical teams will likely have some questions about some of the details of this modeling effort, and trust that we can have some additional discussion, if necessary, with minimal disruptions to the work.

Please let us know if you any questions about this letter.

thanks

Chip Humphrey

(See attached file: EPAresponsePCBModelingApproach21110.pdf)